

+

**Law Professors' Statement for the Independence of the Judiciary and
Against the Recall of Santa Clara County Superior Court Judge Aaron Persky
(91 law professors as of August 17, 2017)**

We the undersigned are part of a broad diversity of law professors from California universities; among our relevant fields of specialization are criminal law, gender and law, and constitutional law. We write in strong opposition to the campaign to recall Judge Aaron Persky of the Santa Clara County Superior Court. We do so because this recall campaign, which just now is beginning the formal process of gathering signatures, threatens the fundamental principles of judicial independence and fairness that we all embed in the education of our students.

The mechanism of recall was designed for and must be limited to cases where judges are corrupt or incompetent or exhibit bias that leads to systematic injustice in their courtrooms. None of these criteria applies to Judge Persky. The recall campaign was instigated in response to a sentencing decision in the case of Brock Turner, where the judge followed a probation report recommendation and exercised discretion towards a lenient sentence, in accordance with the California Penal Code. We appreciate that some people (indeed including some of the signers of this letter) might have chosen a different result, but the core values of judicial independence and integrity require the judge to make a decision based on the record (including, in this case, the recommendation of a skilled professional, a probation officer) -- *not* on public outcry about a controversial case. Judge Persky's decision was controversial, but it was a lawful decision. Other sentencing decisions by Judge Persky that have been challenged by the recall movement have followed the equally common and legitimate practice of accepting a recommendation agreed on by the prosecution and defense.

We believe it is critical to distinguish disagreement with a particular sentence or allegations about a handful of decisions from an attack on a judge's overall record. Thus, it is vital to recognize the following: the Santa Clara County Bar Association issued a 2016 statement opposing attempts to remove Judge Persky from the bench; this can be found at <http://www.sccba.com/blogpost/1133925/249782/SCCBA-Statement-on-Judicial-Independence>. The State Commission on Judicial Performance, an independent state agency, conducted a review and concluded that the claims of bias were unfounded. (https://cjp.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/40/2016/08/Persky_Exploratory_Statement_12-19-16.pdf). We encourage you to read both documents for details of their reasoning.

The last three elected District Attorneys of Santa Clara County, with 27 years of leadership in that office, are against the recall; surely, they would speak up if they found the judge's record to be improper. Similarly, the defense bar's outpouring of opposition to the recall underscores Judge Persky's reputation for being unbiased against those most harshly disadvantaged by our criminal justice system. A broad range of lawyers who have appeared before Judge Persky have publicly attested to the respect they have for him as a fair and impartial jurist.

In particular, lawyers who represent indigent defendants in our system rightly view the recall as a danger to, not promotion of, progressive values. This is because, historically and empirically, recall actions push judges towards sharply ratcheting up sentences, especially against the poor and people of color, out of fear of media campaigns run by well-funded interest groups. No wonder that former U.S. Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor, observing the effects of judicial elections, lamented "political prizefights where partisans and special interests seek to install judges who will answer to them instead of the law and the Constitution."

A fair and equitable justice system requires judges who dispassionately assess the culpability and background of offenders, without fear of public opinion, balancing the goals of retribution, deterrence, and rehabilitation. The recall campaign risks allowing public clamor to distort these crucial acts of judgment. We urge you not to sign the petition, and to oppose the recall. Thank you.

University affiliations are listed solely for the purpose of identifying the individual signers. The statement expresses the views of the individual professors. (89 as of August 15, 2017)

Richard L. Abel, UCLA School of Law

Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of Law

Barbara Babcock, Stanford Law School

W. David Ball, Santa Clara University School of Law

Joseph Bankman, Stanford Law School

R. Richard Banks, Stanford Law School

Lara Bazelon, University of San Francisco School of Law

Samuel Bray, UCLA School of Law

Paul Brest, Stanford Law School

Cary Bricker, McGeorge School of Law

Patricia Cain, Santa Clara University School of Law

Robert Calhoun, Golden Gate University School of Law

Linda E. Carter, McGeorge School of Law

Erwin Chemerinsky, UC Berkeley School of Law

Colleen Chien, Santa Clara University School of Law

Gabriel Chin, UC Davis School of Law

Stephen Cody, McGeorge School of Law

Sharon Dolovich, UCLA School of Law

Donald A. Dripps, University of San Diego School of Law

Daniel Farber, UC Berkeley School of Law

Barbara Fried, Stanford Law School

Catherine Fisk, UC Berkeley School of Law

Jeffrey Fisher, Stanford Law School

Richard T. Ford, Stanford Law School

Bryant Garth, UC Irvine School of Law

Paul Goldstein, Stanford Law School

Christine Chambers Goodman, Pepperdine University School of Law

Robert Gordon, Stanford Law School

William Gould, Stanford Law School

Henry T. Greely, Stanford Law School

Thomas Grey, Stanford Law School

Pratheepan Gulasekaram, Santa Clara University School of Law

Angela P. Harris, UC Davis School of Law

Deborah Hensler, Stanford Law School

Robert Hillman, UC Davis School of Law

Daniel E. Ho, Stanford Law School

Scott Howe, Chapman University / Fowler School of Law

Philip Jimenez, Santa Clara University School of Law

Paige Kaneb, Santa Clara University School of Law

Pamela Karlan, Stanford Law School

Gregory Keating, USC Gould School of Law

Amalia D. Kessler, Stanford Law School

Michael Klausner, Stanford Law School

Russell Korobkin, UCLA School of Law

Ellen Kreitzberg, Santa Clara University School of Law

Christopher Kutz, UC Berkeley School of Law

Brian K. Landsberg, McGeorge School of Law

Richard Leo, University of San Francisco School of Law

Laurie Levenson, Loyola Law School

David Levine, UC Hastings College of the Law

Rory Little, UC Hastings College of the Law

Kerry Macintosh, Santa Clara University School of Law

Lawrence C. Marshall, Stanford Law School

Michael McConnell, Stanford Law School

Bernadette Meyler, Stanford Law School

Alison Morantz, Stanford Law School

Mary-Beth Moylan, McGeorge School of Law

Gary Neustadter, Santa Clara University School of Law

Michelle Oberman, Santa Clara University School of Law

Joan Petersilia, Stanford Law School

Donald Polden, Santa Clara University School of Law

Robert Rabin, Stanford Law School

Radhika Rao, UC Hastings College of the Law

Kathleen Ridolfi, Santa Clara University School of Law

Margaret M. Russell, Santa Clara University School of Law

Susan Rutberg, Golden Gate University School of Law

Elisabeth Semel, UC Berkeley School of Law

Steven Shatz, University of San Francisco School of Law

Marci Seville, Golden Gate University School of Law

Jonathan Simon, UC Berkeley School of Law

Deborah Sivas, Stanford Law School

David Sklansky, Stanford Law School

David Sloss, Santa Clara University School of Law

Robert Solomon, UC Irvine School of Law

Ann Southworth, UC Irvine School of Law

E. Gary Spitko, Santa Clara University School of Law

John Sprankling, McGeorge School of Law

Jayashri Srikantiah, Stanford Law School

Linda Starr, Santa Clara University School of Law

Edward Steinman, Santa Clara University School of Law

David Studdert, Stanford School of Law

Shahin Talesh, UC Irvine School of Law

Edward Telfeyan, McGeorge School of Law

Ronald Tyler, Stanford Law School

Michael Vitiello, McGeorge School of Law

Gerald Uelmen, Santa Clara University School of Law

Emily Garcia Uhrig, McGeorge School of Law

Michael Wald, Stanford Law School

Robert Weisberg, Stanford Law School

Charles Weisselberg, UC Berkeley School of Law

Stephanie M. Wildman, Santa Clara University School of Law

